

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,706	04/27/2001	Arun Shah	68110328.713	9552	
23562	7590 11/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER & MCKENZIE			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN		
PATENT DE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2300 DALLAS, TX 75201			2165		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/844,706	SHAH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN A LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN SIGN STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN A LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN SIZE OF A LONGER AND A	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/2	<u>/2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
•	<i>,</i> — ,, — ;						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (FTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/26/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/844,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. The Amendment filed on September 2, 2005 has been received and entered. Claims 1-10 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Tse et al.</u> (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0078018 A1) in view of <u>Lore et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,163,774).

As to claims 1, and 6, <u>Tse et al.</u> discloses a computer readable medium for storing a plurality of instructions for calculating a measure, said plurality of instructions comprising:

receiving a request to calculated a measure, said measure associated with one or more requested dimension levels (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 1, paragraphs 0011-0012, prior art, also see <u>Tse et al.</u> page 4, paragraph 0058);

selecting a first star from a first stargroup associated with the measure, wherein the first star supports at least one allocation dimension level for the measure (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 11, paragraphs 0129-0130);

Application/Control Number: 09/844,706

Art Unit: 2165

selecting a second star from a second stargroup associated with a control measure, wherein the second star supports the one or more requested dimension levels (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 5, paragraph 0071, wherein "second star" reads on "different star schema").

Tse et al. does not teach determining at least one allocated dimension level for the measure, the allocated dimension level being one of the one or more requested dimension levels and the allocated dimension level being undefined at a lowest dimension level; and

calculating a value for the measure at the allocated dimension level using data associated with the at least one allocation dimension level supported by the first star and data associated with the one or more requested dimension levels supported by the second star.

Lore et al. teaches determining at least one allocated dimension level for the measure, the allocated dimension level being one of the one or more requested dimension levels and the allocated dimension level being undefined at a lowest dimension level (See Lore et al. column 16, lines 19-20, also see Lore et al. column 20, lines 35-40, and see Lore et al. column 26, lines 12-17); and

calculating a value for the measure at the allocated dimension level using data associated with the at least one allocation dimension level supported by the first star and data associated with the one or more requested dimension levels supported by the second star (See Lore et al. column 17, lines 34-39, also see Lore et al. column 18, lines 30-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Tse et al.</u> to include determining at least one allocated dimension level for the measure, the allocated dimension level being one of the one or more requested dimension levels and the allocated dimension level being undefined at a lowest

Application/Control Number: 09/844,706

Art Unit: 2165

dimension level; and calculating a value for the measure at the allocated dimension level using data associated with the at least one allocation dimension level supported by the first star and

data associated with the one or more requested dimension levels supported by the second star.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Tse et al.</u> by the teaching of <u>Lore et al.</u> to include determining at least one allocated dimension level for the measure, the allocated dimension level being one of the one or more requested dimension levels and the allocated dimension level being undefined at a lowest dimension level; and calculating a value for the measure at the allocated dimension level using data associated with the at least one allocation dimension level supported by the first star and data associated with the one or more requested dimension levels supported by the second star because it produces flexible and concise method for producing aggregate levels in a database (See <u>Lore et al.</u> column 3, lines 33-34).

As to claims 2, and 7, <u>Tse et al.</u> as modified discloses computer readable medium wherein the plurality of instructions comprising determining at least one allocated dimension level further comprises:

Comparing the requested dimension levels to a lowest level star in the first stargroup (See Tse et al. page 4, paragraphs 0058-0060, also see Tse et al. page 4, paragraphs 0063-0066); and selecting for each requested dimension level, a minimum of the requested dimension level and a corresponding one of one or more dimension levels associated with the star (See Tse et al. page 5, paragraphs 0076-0079).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,706 Page 5

Art Unit: 2165

As to claims 3, and 8, <u>Tse et al.</u> as modified discloses the computer readable medium wherein the plurality of instructions further comprising:

calculating the measure for the allocation dimension levels (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 8, paragraph 0008, prior art, also see <u>Tse et al.</u> page 4, paragraphs 0060-0062); and

calculating the control measure for the requested dimension levels (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 6, paragraphs 0080-0091).

As to claims 4, and 9, <u>Tse et al.</u> as modified discloses determining the allocated dimension levels further comprises:

determining the allocated dimension levels wherein no star exists which supports the measure at the requested dimension levels (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 3, paragraphs 0035-0043).

As to claims 5, and 10, <u>Tse et al.</u> as modified discloses wherein the control measure is a predetermined measure associated with the measure (See <u>Tse et al.</u> page 3, paragraph 0040, also see Tse et al. page 5, paragraph 0078).

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5: 30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/844,706 Page 7

Art Unit: 2165

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil November 21, 2005